

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,911	02/20/2004	Seigo Nishikawa	Q79997	5337	
23373	7590 06/16/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			SHAW, CLIFFORD C		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1725		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/16/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim$	
10/781,911	NISHIKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Clifford C. Shaw	1725		
	10/781,911 Examiner	10/781,911 NISHIKAWA ET AL.  Examiner Art Unit	

	Clifford C. Shaw	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u> </u>	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauco.
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:</li> <li>(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul> </li> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	TE below); ducing or simplifying ected claims.	the issues for
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	nea.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by     The claims are considered unpatentable for the reasons		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No(s)	
13.  Other:	(	Clark	
		Cliffold C Shaw	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725